

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ο.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,229		07/22/2003	Manuel Marquez-Sanchez	67079	6970
48940	759	0 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
KRAFT		F E STREET	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
SUITE 1600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			1723		
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/624,229	MARQUEZ-SANCHEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph W. Drodge	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	uaust 2005.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
	daminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		••					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
		<u> </u>					

Claims 1-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the independent claims 1,10,13 and 19, scope of the terminology "more effective for removing the solute from a fluid...relative to an inorganic gel coating that has not been moleculary imprinted" is unclear, since neither types of solute or types of fluid have been recited, neither has any of the filtering parameters such as pressure, temperature, filter pore size, etc.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5-7,9,19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doktycz et al PGPUBS document US2004/0173506 published 09/09/2004.

Doktycz et al disclose an adsorbent (paragraph 46) polymeric filter material comprising fibrous support material with amino groups [as in claim 3] (paragraphs 14,50,97 and 98), adsobent gel coating adhered thereto, such as silica or silane/gel or hydrogel [as in claims 5-7], (paragraph 96). The fibers are also molecularly specialized, i.e. imprinted for the sorbtion, removal and/or sensing of specific biological substances on a molecular scale (paragraphs 6,7,18,19,48,100 and 101).

For method claims starting with claim 19, see paragraph 18 concerning filtration of various medical, and biomedical solutes from cellular fluids.

Claim language pertaining to method of forming the gel coating on the fibrous support material by templating has been given little patentable weight, since no corresponding structure or method steps are present in the instant claims, the methods of imparting adhering a gel coating material to a fibrous support material having charged groups is deemed to be equivalent.

Claim 2 merely alludes to a solute removal process, so does not define further apparatus structure.

For claim 9, the filter is described without an accompanying housing or enclosure, and as forming a "self-assembly" hence inherently self-supporting, in paragraph 4.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 10-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Independent claims 10 and 13 distinguish over the closest prior art, encompassing Doktycz et al and the Vu et al publication "A facile method to deposit zeolites Y and L onto cellulose fibers" in view of the recited method step of "molecularly imprinting the solute on the inorganic gel coating with the template molecules present during formation of the inorganic gel coating". Although Doktycz et al teach formation of molecular

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

imprinting of molecular fibers and a gel coating, imprinting with template molecules is not envisioned. Vu et al and other publications teach formation of a coated fibrous filter by depositing gel or semi-solid material onto a fibrous substrate by processes including heating, pressurizing, and chemical bonding, not however suggesting "molecular imprinting with a template technique.

Claims 4,8 and 21-27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 would distinguish in view of recitation of the fibrous support material comprising cellulose. It is deemed not apparent to combine the cellulose fiber filter of Wei with Doktycz et al, since Wei does not suggest a fibrous filter with fiber having coating that has been molecularly imprinted.

Each of claims 8,21 and 22 would distinguish in view of recitation of molecularly imprinting the membrane gel coating for caffeine or purifying a fluid comprising caffeine.

Each of claims 22,24 and 27 would distinguish in view of recitation of treating a fluid comprising a lipid-containing material.

Claim 25 would distinguish in view of recitation of the treated solute comprising a pesiticide or herbicide.

Claim 26 would distinguish in view of treating a fluid comprising a natural food matrix.

Applicant's arguments filed on August 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that the claims are not indefinite since the

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

Specification refers to solutes such as caffeine, cholesterol and dissolved organic compounds and specific fluids including tea, coffee and water. However, it is submitted that such solutes and fluids are quite varied, and it is unclear in most of the claims which of such fluids and solutes are targeted for removal of a given percentage of solute, or improvement in such percentage, and under what separation conditions.

Page 5

Arguments pertaining to rejection of claims on the merit are moot in view of the newly applied reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can reached at 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD

September 27, 2005